

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5 OAKLAND DIVISION

6 SCOTT GRIFFITH COLLABORATIVE
7 SOLUTIONS, LLC d/b/a SG Collaborative
8 Solutions, LLC,

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

11 FALCK NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CORP.,
12 CARE AMBULANCE SERVICE, INC., and
13 FALCK USA, INC.,

14 Defendants.

Case No: C 19-6104 SBA

**ORDER STRIKING MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
OPPOSITION**

Dkt. 11, 22, 23

15 This matter was originally assigned to Magistrate Judge Virginia K. DeMarchi.
16 Defendants declined to consent to her jurisdiction, resulting in the reassignment of the
17 action to this Court. Dkt. 21, 26. Under this Court's Standing Orders, no motion or other
18 request may be filed unless the movant certifies in writing that it has met and conferred
19 with the opposing party in a good faith effort to avoid judicial intervention. In addition,
20 except for motions for summary judgment, noticed motions and oppositions thereto filed
21 before this Court are limited to 15 pages in length; replies are limited to 10 pages.

22 The docket indicates that Plaintiff filed a motion for preliminary injunction, which is
23 noticed for November 12, 2019. The motion as well as the opposition exceeds this Court's
24 15-page limit for said briefs. Dkt. 11. In addition, Defendants filed two separate objections
25 to certain evidence presented by Plaintiff in support of its motion. Dkt. 22-3, 22-4. The
26 filing of separate objections is in clear violation of Civil Local Rule 7-3(a), which provides
27 that "[a]ny evidentiary and procedural objections to the motion must be contained within
28 the brief or memorandum." Civ. L.R. 7-3(a) (emphasis added).

1 Based on the parties' violations of this Court's Standing Orders and the Local Rules,
2 the Court declines to consider their non-compliant papers. Swanson v. U.S. Forest Serv.,
3 87 F.3d 339, 345 (9th Cir. 1996) (holding that district court has discretion to disregard
4 briefs filed in circumvention of page limits). The motion and opposition papers shall
5 therefore be stricken from the record. See Ready Transp., Inc. v. AAR Mfg., Inc., 627 F.3d
6 402, 404 (9th Cir. 2010) (recognizing that the district court has "the power to strike items
7 from the docket as a sanction for litigation conduct"). Accordingly,

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction and
9 Defendants' opposition papers (Dkt. 11, 22, 23) are STRICKEN from the record. After
10 satisfying the meet and confer requirement, Plaintiff may refile its motion in accordance
11 with the Local Rules and Standing Orders of the Court. Plaintiff and Defendants shall
12 lodge courtesy copies of their respective motion papers and supporting exhibits in the form
13 of indexed and tabbed binders.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: 10/16/19


SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
Senior United States District Judge